



Report of: Council Secretary and Solicitor

Relevant Portfolio Holder: Councillor Forshaw

Contact for further information: Mrs J.A. Jones (Extn. 5017)

SUBJECT: USE OF COMMUTED SUMS FOR ENHANCED TRANSPORT PROVISION IN SKELMERSDALE/UP HOLLAND

District wide interest

1.0 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1.0 To advise Cabinet of the outcome of the Planning Committee's consideration, at its meeting held on 22 May 2008 on a report of the Executive Manager Planning and Development Services on the use of commuted sums for enhanced transport provision in Skelmersdale/Up Holland.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 That consideration be given to the recommendation to Cabinet at paragraphs 3.1 – 3.4 of the attached report in the light of the resolution of Planning Committee to defer consideration for further information as set out at paragraph 3.1 below in the context of the comments set out in paragraph 4.0 below.

3.0 BACKGROUND AND CURRENT POSITION

3.1 At its meeting on 22 May 2008 the Planning Committee considered a report on the use of Commuted Sums for Enhanced Transport Provision in Skelmersdale/Up Holland and resolved as follows:-

“9. USE OF COMMUTED SUMS FOR ENHANCED TRANSPORT PROVISION IN SKELMERSDALE/UP HOLLAND

Consideration was given to the report of the Executive Manager Planning and Development Services as contained on pages 1815 to 1825 of the Book of Reports which sought Members views on how the commuted sums received or

due to be received from Section 106 Agreements for accessing sites by alternative means of transport to the car should be used.

RESOLVED: *That the Executive Manager of Planning and Development Services submit a further report to the Planning Committee with more detailed information on the proposed cycle and pedestrian schemes following consultation with Ward Councillors”.*

4.0 COMMENTS OF THE EXECUTIVE MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

4.1 Planning Committee requested further details in respect of the proposed cycle and pedestrian schemes set out in paragraphs 7.1 and 7.2 of the attached report and suggested that Ward Members be consulted. Taking these comments into account and in order to avoid any further delay I submit the following revised recommendations for Cabinet’s consideration:

“2.1 That the decision whether to use the commuted sums of £51,550 from the Metier Site Phase 1, £25,000 from Proctor Gamble and £5000 from KRM, Pimbo Lane to create the three cycle/pedestrian routes referred to in paragraphs 7.1 and 7.2 be delegated to the Executive Manager Planning and Development Services in consultation with the relevant Portfolio Holder, subject to the views of Planning Committee being taken into account.

2.2 That delegated authority be given to the Executive Manager Planning and Development Services in consultation with the relevant Portfolio Holder to agree the final designs and appoint contractors for the three schemes referred to in paragraphs 7.1 and 7.2 subject to the views of Planning Committee being taken into account in relation to the final designs

2.3 That a further report be brought back to Cabinet in respect of the demand responsive bus service when more details are available.”

Background Documents

There are no background documents (as defined in Section 100D (5) of the Local Government Act 1972) to this Report.

Equality Impact Assessment

There is no evidence from an initial assessment of an adverse impact on equality in relation to the equality target groups.

Appendices

Report of the Executive Manager Planning and Development Services